

EA



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,403	10/04/2000	Tom Van Horn	MCTA-002/03US	2392
758	7590	09/15/2005	EXAMINER	
FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			SMITH, JEFFREY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/684,403	VAN HORN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey A. Smith	3625	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey A. Smith.

(2) Colleen Chien.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 NONE


Prior art documents discussed:
 NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Jeffrey A. Smith
 Primary Examiner

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

KU

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner confirmed that the Office had received adequate evidence of the timely filing of a submission filed with a certificate of mailing dated 10/29/04. Accordingly, the RCE filed 11/3/04 is now found to be in compliance with Rule 114. Ms. Chien was informed that the case is in an active status and that the case will be taken up by the Examiner for consideration in due course..